overtime compensation;

Whereas, "Opt-ins" are full time employees who have worked or work for the District in the Call Center between October 20, 2006 and February 8, 2008 and who have confirmed his or her choice to join this lawsuit has by signing and filing, no later than April 30, 2009, an "Opt-in Consent Form" and who have not withdrawn his or her consent to this date;

Whereas, all parties desire to fully resolve this matter and to avoid incurring further costs and expenses incident to the litigation in this matter;

Whereas, all parties have agreed to the terms of the attached "Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims" attached hereto as Exhibit A as evidenced by their signature pages;

Whereas, the parties agree that this settlement is a resolution of disputed matters and is not, in any way, an admission of liability, fault or wrongdoing on the part of any party;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties of this action, and through their designated legal counsel, that the above-captioned action will be disposed of as follows:

- 1. That the Court order that his action be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety as to all parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) based upon the terms and conditions stated in the attached Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims;
- 2. That the Plaintiff and the opt-ins must each sign document(s) evidencing their agreement to the "Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims" before they can receive any wage payment due under the "Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims";
- 3. That any action to enforce the attached Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims be brought in Superior Court of California, in and for the County of Alameda and/or the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, to the

A Professional Law Corporation 153 Townsend Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94107	1	extent of this Court's jurisdiction; and
	2	4. That the Clerk of the Court enter final judgment dismissing this action with
	3	prejudice in its entirety.
	4	Dated: 5/27/09, 2009 FOR THE PLAINTIFF
	5	B- Mull
	6	Brian Mulhern
	7	
	8	Dated: 5 29, 2009 EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
	9	District
	10 11	Gary Breaux
	12	Director of Finance
	13	Approved as to Form and Content
	14	
	15	Dated: 5/28, 2009 LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE
	16	Cunthus ONUL
	17	Cynthia O'Neill, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant
	18	Automoya 101 B 010110
	19	Approved as to Form and Content
	20	Dated: May 27, 2009 BEESON, TAYER & BODINE, APC
	21	Dated
	22	Jason Rabinowitz, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	23	Attorneys for/Plaintiffs
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	- 3 -
		STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE CASE NO. CV 08-04800-MMC

ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

The Court having considered the parties' stipulation submitted herein and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. That this action is dismissed with prejudice in its entirety as to all parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2) based upon the terms and conditions stated in the Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims;
- 2. That any action to enforce the parties' Settlement Agreement and General Release of Claims be brought in the Superior Court of California, in and for the County of Alameda and/or the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, to the extent of this Court's jurisdiction; and
- 3. That the Clerk of the Court enter final judgment dismissing this action with prejudice and in its entirety

SO ORDERED

Dated: _August 31 _____, 2009

The Honorable Maxine Chesney
United States District Court Judge

88207.3 EA391-011